



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

TD

[Signature]

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
03/234,233	09/19/05	NARBULLIS	N PA1031US

021567 LM02/0919
WELLS ST JOHN ROBERTS GREGORY AND MATKIN
SUITE 1300
601 W FIRST AVENUE
SPOKANE WA 99201-3828

EXAMINER

TRAN, T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2714

//

DATE MAILED: 09/19/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/294,259

Applicant(s)

NEAL MARGULIS

Examiner

Trang U. Tran

Group Art Unit
2714



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Trang U. Tran

(3) _____

(2) Bernard Berman

(4) _____

Date of Interview Sep 7, 2000

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 50, 54, 74, and 84

Identification of prior art discussed:

Cooper et al., Szeliski et al., Frankenbach, Kitamura et al.

JOHN K. PENG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2700

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The applicant argues that the Cooper et al. reference and the combination of the references does not teach or suggest some of the claimed limitations such as "reconstruct said input to generate DIP output", "geometric transformation module". The official "amendment" or "remark" will be considered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.